

# Repositório ISCTE-IUL

# Deposited in Repositório ISCTE-IUL:

2024-06-04

# Deposited version:

Accepted Version

#### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

# Citation for published item:

Gonçalves, R., Dias, C., Pereira, L., Lopes da Costa, R., Dias, Á. & Vinhas da Silva, R. (2024). New dynamics of e-commerce on the retail industry: Analysis of the purchase process of fashion and electronics products. International Journal of Economics and Business Research. 27 (4), 651-672

# Further information on publisher's website:

10.1504/IJEBR.2023.10043605

# Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Gonçalves, R., Dias, C., Pereira, L., Lopes da Costa, R., Dias, Á. & Vinhas da Silva, R. (2024). New dynamics of e-commerce on the retail industry: Analysis of the purchase process of fashion and electronics products. International Journal of Economics and Business Research. 27 (4), 651-672, which has been published in final form at https://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJEBR.2023.10043605. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

# New Dynamics of e-Commerce on the Retail Industry: Analysis of the Purchase Process of Fashion and Electronics Products

Rui Gonçalves, Ph.D.
PIAGET Almada
Almada, Portugal
ruiahgoncalves@gmail.com

Catarina Dias, MSc Universidade Nova IMS Lisbon, Portugal m20180598@isegi.unl.pt

Leandro Pereira, Ph.D PMP BRU-Business Research Unit ISCTE - Instituto Universitário de Lisboa WINNING LAB Lisbon, Portugal leandro.pereira@iscte-iul.pt

Renato Lopes da Costa, Ph.D.
Business Research Unit – BRU-IUL
ISCTE - Instituto Universitário de Lisboa
Lisbon, Portugal
renatojle@gmail.com

Álvaro Dias, Ph.D
Universidade Lusófona de Humanidades e Tecnologias
ISCTE - Instituto Universitário de Lisboa
Lisbon, Portugal
alvaro.dias 1 @ gmail.com

Rui Vinhas da Silva, Ph.D.
Business Research Unit – BRU-IUL
ISCTE - Instituto Universitário de Lisboa
Lisbon, Portugal
Rui.Vinhas.Silva@iscte-iul.pt

Rui Gonçalves holds a PhD in Management from Instituto Superior de Economia e Gestão, with research in information systems for operational risk management, a Master in Statistics and Information Management from NOVA Information Management School (NOVA IMS), with research in the area of Intelligent Agents, and a degree in Business Management from the International University. He is currently a Guest Assistant Professor at NOVA IMS and works as Manager in the Business Expertise division at SAS Portugal. In recent years, he has coordinated the areas of Operational Risk, Compliance, Fraud, Audit and Money Laundering.

Catarina Dias is Master Student from ISEGI – Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal. She is a Business Inteligence Expert with experience in Marketing and Customer Relationship Management. Caratina has a banckground in Finance from ISEG Lisbon School of Economics & Management. She is a problem solver with strong skills of curiosity and public speaking.

Leandro Pereira is Professor of Strategy and Management at ISCTE Business School. He holds a Ph.D. in Project Management. He is also CEO and Founder of WINNING Scientific Management. Dr. Pereira is also former President of Business Case Institute, PMI Portugal Chapter and Training Specialist of the Court of Auditors. As CEO, Dr. Pereira receives from Best Teams Leaders the award of Best Team Leader and CEO of Portugal in 2017 in Portugal. He is also PMP from PMI and ROI certified. As researcher, he published more than 80 scientific publications and 10 books. As student, he received the best student award from University of Minho. Dr. Pereira is an international expert in Strategic Management, Project Management, Benefits Realization Management, and Problem Solving.

Renato Lopes da Costa. PhD in General Management, Strategy and Business Development by ISCTE (Portugal) has articles published in several specialized journals in the East, the United States, Canada, Africa, South America and Portugal. He is currently a researcher and member of BRU-UNIDE and a professor at INDEG where he holds the post of director of the MScBA (Master in Business Administration) and guides students in the development of master's and PhD theses. Teaches business strategy modules in executive and post-graduate master's degrees. Since

2013 he has also accumulated teaching duties as an invited professor at the Military Academy where he teaches the Knowledge Management.

Álvaro Dias is Professor of Strategy at Instituto Superior de Gestão and ISCTE-IUL, both in Lisbon, Portugal. He got his PhD in Management from Universidad de Extremadura, Spain, after an MBA in International Business. Professor Dias has over 24 years of teaching experience. He has had several visiting positions in different countries and institutions including Brazil, Angola, Spain, Poland and Finland. He regularly teaches in English, Portuguese, and Spanish at undergraduate, master and doctorate levels, as well as in executive programs. Professor Dias has produced extensive research in the field of Tourism and Management, including books, book chapters, papers in scientific journals and conference proceedings, case studies, and working papers.

Rui Vinhas da Silva is Full Professor ISCTE-IUL and Member of the Executive Board INDEG-ISCTE Exec Ed. He was also President of COMPETE 2020 (2014-2016) and Associate Professor at the University of Manchester, Manchester Business School, UK (since April 2008) and subsequently at ISCTE since April 2010, with Aggregation since September 2011- Present. Dr. Vinhas da Silva was also Assistant Professor from September 1999 to April 2008 and Research Fellow (Post Doc.) from 1998 to 1999 at the University of Manchester and Director of the Master in Corporate Communications and Reputation Management (2004-2006). From 1998 to 2010, taught the Full-Time and Executive MBA and PhD programs at Manchester Business School.

#### Abstract

The technology-mediated environment promoted the creation of new dynamics in the retail sector. To understand how the emergence of e-commerce has impacted the retail sector, this study analysed two distinct product typologies: fashion and electronics. Among the various conclusions of this work, we highlight a clear resistance to the emergence of e-commerce, even though a considerable part of the sample declares that the frequency of visits to physical stores has decreased, and the total frequency of purchases has increased after the appearance of e-commerce. The probability of completion of the purchase occurring on either platform increases when the search for information occurs on the same platform, however, possibly justified by the level of complexity of the product, the probability of the customer staying on the same platform during the electronics purchase process is marginally inferior to the fashion product model.

Keywords: e-commerce; retails; stores; fashion; electronics.

#### 1. Introduction

The technology-mediated environment promoted the creation of new dynamics in the retail sector, namely in the scope of research, comparison, product evaluation and the act of purchase (Foroudi, Gupta, Sivarajah, & Broderick, 2018). Due to the ubiquity of the Internet, the retail sector, which was initially characterized by a mono-channel context, where only a single retail channel was used in the interaction with the customer, typically the physical store, has become a growing integration of the online and offline commerce, called omnichannel (S. Shi, Wang, Chen, & Zhang, 2020). Despite the growing penetration of the online platform as a means of shopping, there is still a relative discomfort with the act of purchasing through this channel, justified by factors such as insecurity associated with payment or the long wait for the product to arrive (Katawetawaraks & Wang, 2015).

In the academic scope, several studies analysed the four possible impacts of e-commerce on the frequency and characterization of trips to physical stores proposed by Patricia L. Mokhtarian (2004, 2008) and Patricia Lyon Mokhtarian (1990), namely substitution, complementarity, modification and neutrality. The discrepancy of the results reflects the absence of an absolute truth regarding the effect generated by the emergence of e-commerce. Factors such as the geographic, socioeconomic and cultural context of the sample (Calderwood & Freathy, 2014; K. Shi, De Vos, Yang, & Witlox, 2019) or the type of product under analysis manifest a significant influence on the type of customer interaction between the two platforms and their purchase determinants (Kim, Song, Choi, Kim, & Hong, 2019).

To create an appropriate strategy focused on the consumer's needs, the access to information about consumer behaviour is crucial (Pereira et al., 2021), so this study will contribute to a deeper and more focused knowledge of Lisbon consumers. To address this issue the following topics will be covered: 1) identification of the effect of the emergence of e-commerce on consumer buying behaviour; 2) understand the interactions between the online channel and the physical channel in two of the phases of the purchasing process, the search for information and the purchase, for two types of products under analysis: fashion and electronic products. 3) identify the determinant factors on the frequency of information search and purchase in each channel (physical and online), for each type of product. The article is structured as follow: section 2 presents the literature review that is used to support the research; section 3 details the methodology, data gathering process and the sample used; section 4 present the results that were obtained; and section 5 delivers the discussion and final conclusions.

#### 2. Literature Review

Worldwide, the growth of e-commerce as an alternative to the traditional shopping process has been notorious, the number of online shoppers has increased year after year (Clement, 2019). In Portugal, the report by CTT - Correios de Portugal (2020) confirms the growth trend of e-Commerce, with a growth rate in 2019 higher than the previous year (20% versus 17%), although only 39% of Portuguese companies have an online presence, with only 27% doing business on this platform. This result reflects a low digital development on the part of Portuguese companies and could be one of the factors that lead more than 80% of online shoppers to choose to buy from foreign retailers, such as AliExpress, eBay, Amazon, Wish and Gearbest.

In the last year, the online presence of retailers has become critical, as on March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared a pandemic state due to the spread of the COVID-19 virus. In the last year the world has changed drastically, the spread of the new virus has changed the way people live, severely impacting the global economy (Fernandes, 2020). The long-term effects are not yet known, however, in the short-term it is already possible to see significant impacts in the retail sector. Due to the limited circulation hours and confinement policies implemented with the aim of containing the spread of the virus, the vast majority of physical retail stores were forced to close and retailers were forced to move more quickly towards alternatives to traditional commerce, such as orders and services made online or the click and collect option (Gauri et al., 2020; Shankar et al., 2020). As a result of the economic contraction, there is an increase in unemployment, added to the decrease in purchasing power and the consequent decrease in expenditure by consumers (Dias et al., 2021).

Based on the theory initially developed by Salomon (1986) and later expanded by Mokhatarian (Patricia L. Mokhatarian, 2004, 2008; Patricia Lyon Mokhatarian, 1990), four types of possible impacts of the evolution of telecommunications on the frequency and characterization of trips to physical stores: 1) substitution; 2) complementarity; 3) modification; 4) neutrality.

Cao, Xu, and Douma (2012) analysed the interactions between online and in-store commerce, in Minneapolis-Saint Paul, and found that the search for online information has a positive influence on the frequency of purchase on both platforms, results confirmed by Davis , California, whose study indicates that there is a complementary relationship between the frequency of purchases online and in physical stores, as well as a set of explanatory variables, such as perceptions, attitudes and personal characteristics (Lee, Sener, Mokhtarian, & Handy, 2017; Dias & Lages, 2021). On the other hand, in China, Chengdu, the impact of online commerce on the frequency of trips to physical stores was analysed in four types of products (clothes and shoes, electronics, food and beverage and cosmetics) using regression

models. The data allowed us to conclude that the substitution effect dominates, which may be justified by the high use of public transport as a means of transport to physical stores and the consequent loss of time of its users when compared with individuals who travel in their private cars to the physical stores (K. Shi et al., 2019). Also in China, studies carried out in different cities have shown different results from those observed in Chengdu. For example, in Nanjing, it was concluded that the search for information in an online environment promotes purchases both on the physical platform and on the online platform, generating a complementary effect between platforms (Xi, Zhen, Cao, & Xu, 2018). Aided by a GPS travel diary and conducting an SEM analysis, Ding & Lu (2017) concluded that in Beijing, purchase frequency on the online platform has a positive effect both on purchase frequency on the physical platform and also on demand for product information on the online platform (Ding & Lu, 2017), thesis confirmed by Etminani-Ghasrodashti and Hamidi (2020) in Iran.

In North Carolina, with a focus on two types of products, clothing and books, Zhai, Cao, Mokhtarian, & Zhen (2017) suggest that the probability of completion of the purchase of fashion products occurring on the Internet increases if the search for information happen on the same platform, however, for this type of product, the purchase process is more likely to take place on the physical platform. Contrary to the most common findings, Sim & Koi (2002) suggest that online commerce does not have a significant impact on traditional commerce patterns in Singapore, as the community prefers to use physical stores rather than online stores, concluding that there is a neutrality effect similarly to the conclusion reached by Calderwood & Freathy (2014) which found that about 80% of respondents indicate that the frequency of trips to physical stores was not affected by online commerce in the Scottish islands. The diversity of conclusions may be justified by different specific situational factors, such as the geographic and socioeconomic context of the sample selected for the study (Calderwood & Freathy, 2014; K. Shi et al., 2019), the type, cost and complexity of the product under analysis (Kim et al., 2019) or even the study method itself.

The ubiquity of Internet access has resulted in the growing trend towards integrating online and offline commerce. This phenomenon is called omnichannel, where the different transactional and informational contact points come together in order to provide a coherent and integrated journey between multiple platforms for the consumer (Shen, Li, Sun, & Wang, 2018; S. Shi et al., 2020). Although the preference for the combination of platforms during the purchase process is growing, there are still consumers who are limited exclusively to online channels or physical channels. The reasons can be varied, including staying in a single channel as a means to avoid risks associated with switching to another channel (Willems, Smolders, Brengman, Luyten, & Schöning, 2017)(Kim et al., 2019) or the tendency to use, in most cases, only one of the channels as a matter of habit (Cambra-Fierro, Kamakura, Melero-Polo, & Sese, 2016). Schmid and Axhausen (2019) concluded that consumers prefer to purchase products whose quality has greater variability in physical stores. In addition, (Kim et al., 2019) found that low-involvement products do not require a high level of research, which, consequently, will make consumers prefer to simplify the purchase process and remain on a single platform during the process.

Multiple empirical studies have analyzed the determinants of purchasing behavior, however, the results achieved are not always consistent. The impact of sociodemographic characteristics is frequently analyzed. Part of the literature reports that age negatively influences the online shopping process (Ding & Lu, 2017; Etminani-Ghasrodashti & Hamidi, 2020; Maat & Konings, 2018), however Zhen, Du, Cao, & Mokhtarian (2018) argued that this is a non-linear relationship, up to 33 years of age the probability of purchasing products online increases with advancing age, from 33 years onwards this probability decreases. Cao et al. (2012) conclude that older people tend to generate more trips to physical stores, suggesting that it is associated with increasing family responsibilities correlated with advancing age. Regarding the gender factor, K. Shi et al. (2019) states that women tend to buy both online and in physical stores more frequently when compared to males. Zhen, Cao, Mokhtarian & Xi (2016) state that men tend to shop more for electronic products online, while women are more likely to purchase fashion products through the internet. In terms of earned income, some studies indicate that individuals who earn a higher income tend to generate more interactions with the online purchase channel (Etminani-Ghasrodashti & Hamidi, 2020; Xi et al., 2018; Zhen et al., 2016), in contrast K. Shi et al. (2019) found a negative association relationship between income and purchase frequency both online and physically, justified by perceived time pressure. Zhen et al. (2016) concluded that in the context of clothing purchase, the level of training obtained has a negative effect on the frequency of purchase of this type of product in physical stores, while Cao et al. (2012) reports an association positive between the level of training and the tendency to generate more purchases through the online channel. The analysis by Etminani-Ghasrodashti & Hamidi (2020) allowed us to conclude that individuals whose professional status is unemployed resort to physical stores less frequently.

The choice of the purchase channel also depends on the individual's attitude towards the product he intends to purchase, Lee et al. (2017) found that individuals who feel greater enthusiasm when shopping tend to frequent physical stores, while online shopping tend to be driven by utilitarian motivations, ie, with a task-fulfillment attitude (Wolfinbarger & Gilly, 2001). Zhen et al. (2016) concluded that the greater the individual's cost awareness, the lower the frequency of purchase in physical stores of fashion and electronics products, in addition, Cao et al. (2012) found that an attitude of Awareness of cost and impulsivity tends to increase the frequency of searching for information via the internet. Impulsive consumers tend to generate visits to physical stores (Cao et al., 2012). According to Zhen et al.

(2016), temporal awareness is negatively associated with the frequency of purchase of electronic and fashion products in physical stores. In the field of fashion products, time awareness also has a negative influence on online shopping.

Despite the multiple advantages associated with the expansion of the internet, a range of vulnerabilities and not-so-positive consequences also influence the purchase decision. For example, Tandon, Kiran, & Sah (2018) found that the risk perceived in the online purchase process has a negative influence on the satisfaction associated with online commerce, which in turn could influence the purchase intention. Perceived risk, which is defined by an individual's perception of the risks associated with the use of a certain technology (Verkijika, 2018) can be differentiated by typologies, ie the perceived risk is considered a multidimensional construct that is significantly influenced by different types risk, namely performance, privacy, financial and social risk (Tandon et al., 2018). Kim et al. (2019) investigated the determinants of demand behavior and concluded that when consumers perceive risk in the act of online purchase, they tend to substantially increase demand in physical stores, as well as increase demand in online stores with the objective to reduce that risk. Additionally, anxiety generated by the use of technology may also be an inhibiting factor (Faqih, 2016).

#### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Data Analysis

Through the statistical method Partial Least Squares - Structural Equation Model (PLS-SEM), we explore the relationships between the physical platform and the online platform, during the purchase process (search and purchase of the product) and the respective determining factors for use of each platform, for each type of product.

To perform the PLS-SEM analysis we use the Smart PLS software. The use of PLS-SEM has advantages that make this statistical method the best fit for the present study, such as: 1) It allows testing more complex relationships, where the models have multiple constructs and indicators; 2) PLS-SEM allows the use of different types of variables: metric, quasi-metric and categorical; 3) It does not require the sample size of the analysis to be large (Jr. Hair, M. Hult, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2017).

#### 3.2 Data collection and Variables

This study aims to identify the effect of e-commerce on the frequency of purchases in physical retail stores, as well as to explore the relationship between the two platforms and the respective determinants of their use for two different types of products: fashion and electronic products, during the purchase process, involving the product search phase and the completion of the purchase. To respond to the proposed objectives, an online questionnaire was developed on the Qualtrics platform, distributed via a shareable link on social networks such as Facebook, Whatsapp and Reddit, during the month of November 2020. The adoption of commerce online and the interaction between the two platforms may be influenced by the level of accessibility to retail centers (Weltevreden & Rietbergen, 2007), for this reason, it was decided to limit the study to individuals who live in the Metropolitan Area of Lisbon, Portugal, over 18 years.

In order to explore how the emergence of online commerce has impacted the way we behave as consumers, the following questions were asked to respondents "How has the frequency with which I shop changed, after the emergence of online commerce?" and "After the emergence of online commerce, my trips to physical stores:", questions adapted from (K. Shi et al., 2019), where five response options were presented to respondents: (1) Substantially decreased; (2) Decreased; (3) It has not changed; (4) Increased; (5) Increased substantially.

To analyse the purchasing behaviour of respondents, they were asked about the frequency of purchase and search on the two platforms, physical stores, and online stores, for each type of product (fashion and electronic products). Thus, for each type of product, the following questions were asked: How often do you purchase [type of product under review] on the Internet? How often do you purchase [type of product under review] in physical stores? How often do you look for [type of product under review] on the Internet? How often do you look for [type of product under review] in physical stores? Most studies use 5-value scales in which the options ranged from "never" to "more than once a week" (Cao et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2017), however, given the expected low frequency of purchase for the types of product under study, we considered it beneficial to expand the time interval and, for this reason, we chose to apply the 7-value scale used by Etminani-Ghasrodashti & Hamidi (2020): (1) Rarely; (2) Three to four times a year; (3) Once every 2 months; (4) Once a month; (5) Twice a month; (6) Three times a month; (7) More than 4 times a month.

Based on demographic variables, attitude variables, perceived risk, and technological anxiety, we carried out a characterization of individuals who use different purchase channels, at different purchase stages, with greater or lesser frequency.

#### 3.3 Sample characterization

The questionnaire counted 220 responses of which 199 are considered valid according to the target population criteria. As shown in table 1, in sociodemographic terms, the sample covered is characterized by 54% females and 45% males. The level of education acquired by most respondents refers to a degree or higher level (66%) and about 62% report their professional status as a "worker". Most people consider the perception of personal income acquired to be positive, since 87% of respondents consider that the closest descriptions are "current income allows you to live comfortably" or "current income is enough to live on".

Factors	Categories	#	%
Gender	Female	108	54%
	Male	90	45%
	Other	1	1%
Age	From 18 to 35	102	51%
	More than 35	97	49%
Education Level	High School or lower level	68	34%
	Bachelor Degree or higher level	131	66%
Employment Status	Student	40	20%
	Student Worker	22	11%
	Work	124	62
	Unemployed	6	3%
	Retired	7	4%
Purchasing Power Perception	Live comfortably	88	44%
	You can live	86	43%
	Hard to live	18	9%
	Very hard to live	7	4%

**Table 1:** characterization of

Demographic the sample.

		Fashio	on	Electr	onics
		#	%	#	%
How often do you buy products on	Rarely	114	57%	115	58%
the internet?	Three to four times a year	40	20%	45	23%
	Once every 2 months	22	11%	25	13%
	Once a month	16	8%	10	5%
	Twice a month	6	3%	0	0%
	Three Times at month	1	1%	2	1%
	More than 4 times a month	0	0%	2	1%
How often do you buy products in	Rarely	33	17%	79	40%
physical stores?	Three to four times a year	62	31%	93	47%
	Once every 2 months	42	21%	13	7%
	Once a month	38	19%	6	3%
	Twice a month	13	7%	4	2%
	Three Times at month	7	4%	0	0%
	More than 4 times a month	4	2%	4	2%
How often do you look for products	Rarely	74	37%	51	26%
on the internet?	Three to four times a year	27	14%	48	24%
	Once every 2 months	15	8%	18	9%
	Once a month	18	9%	25	13%
	Twice a month	19	10%	18	9%
	Three Times at month	15	8%	9	5%
	More than 4 times a month	31	16%	30	15%
How often do you look for products	Rarely	37	19%	64	32%
in physical stores?	Three to four times a year	51	26%	74	37%
	Once every 2 months	37	19%	26	13%
	Once a month	25	13%	15	8%
	Twice a month	29	15%	10	5%
	Three Times at month	10	5%	4	2%
	More than 4 times a month	10	5%	6	3%

**Table 2:** Shopping behaviour's characterization of the sample.

In the field of fashion products, we found that only 23% of the sample purchased products on online platforms at least once every two months, while on physical platforms the value rises to 52%. Regarding the search for information, the percentage of individuals who search at least once every two months is identical on both platforms – 56% on physical platforms and 49% on online platforms. Contrary to what is seen in the process of purchasing fashion products, from the perspective of electronic products, it is the process of searching for information where the biggest difference between platforms is identified - while on the physical platform, only 31% of respondents report seeking at least once every two months electronic products, on the online platform this percentage increases to 50%. Regarding the purchase of products, the difference between the percentage of respondents who purchase products at least once every two months on different platforms is not substantial - 14% on physical platforms and 20% on platforms

#### 4 Results

Since the objective refers to maximizing the explained variance of each endogenous latent variable of the model, it is important that, previously, an evaluation of the quality of the measurement model and the structural model takes place, focused on the metrics that provide the predictive capacity of the model (Jr. Hair et al., 2017).

#### 4.2 Evaluation of the Measurement Model

In the models under analysis, the set of latent variables of attitude, perceived risk and technological anxiety are characterized by reflective model constructs, while the others are measured by a single indicator, as they measure observable characteristics (Jr. Hair et al., 2017).

The evaluation of the measurement models began with the test of the convergent validity of the reflective model. This test aims to analyse the level of correlation between the indicators and the underlying theoretical construct and thus ensure that the indicators significantly reflect the construct (Straub & Gefen, 2004). This evaluation presupposes the validation of the Composite Reliability (CR), Indicator Reliability and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) criteria.

Indicator Reliability is the dimension of the outer loadings associated with each indicator, the greater its value, the greater the variance explained in the construct, thus the outer loadings of each indicator must be greater than 0.708. Considering that the value of the squared outer loading represents the explained variance between the construct and the indicator, it must be equal to or greater than  $0.0.5 (0.708^2 = 0.5)$ .

Shopping Attitudes	Outer Loadings – Fashior	n Model
Enthusiasm  ENT1		
ENT2		
ENT2	ENT1	0.656
Cost Awareness         0.745           COS2         0.899           COS3         0.905           Time Awareness         TIM1           TIM2         0.960           Impulsiveness         IMP1           IMP2         0.892           Innovation         INOV1           INOV2         0.943           Store Relevance         STO1           STO2         0.836           Risk Perception         Payment           PAY1         0.907           PAY2         0.872           PAY3         0.888           Privacy         0.925           PRIV1         0.846           PRIV2         0.925           PRIV3         0.917           Operational         0.759           OPER2         0.913           OPER3         0.745           OPER4         0.675	ENT2	
COS1	ENT3	0.875
COS1	Cost Awareness	•
COS3 0.905  Time Awareness  TIM1 0.121  TIM2 0.960  Impulsiveness  IMP1 0.884  IMP2 0.892  Innovation  INOV1 0.848  INOV2 0.943  Store Relevance  STO1 0.836  STO2 0.836  Risk Perception  Payment  PAY1 0.907  PAY2 0.872  PAY3 0.888  Privacy  PRIV1 0.846  PRIV2 0.925  PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759  OPER2 0.913  OPER3 0.745  OPER4		0.745
COS3 0.905  Time Awareness  TIM1 0.121  TIM2 0.960  Impulsiveness  IMP1 0.884  IMP2 0.892  Innovation  INOV1 0.848  INOV2 0.943  Store Relevance  STO1 0.836  STO2 0.836  Risk Perception  Payment  PAY1 0.907  PAY2 0.872  PAY3 0.888  Privacy  PRIV1 0.846  PRIV2 0.925  PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759  OPER2 0.913  OPER3 0.745  OPER4	COS2	0.899
TIM1 0.121 TIM2 0.960  Impulsiveness  IMP1 0.884 IMP2 0.892  Innovation  INOV1 0.848 INOV2 0.943  Store Relevance  STO1 0.836 STO2 0.836  Risk Perception  Payment PAY1 0.907 PAY2 0.872 PAY3 0.888  Privacy PRIV1 0.846 PRIV2 0.925 PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759 OPER2 0.913 OPER3 0.745 OPER4 0.675	COS3	i
TIM2 0.960  Impulsiveness  IMP1 0.884  IMP2 0.892  Innovation  INOV1 0.848  INOV2 0.943  Store Relevance  STO1 0.836  STO2 0.836  Risk Perception  Payment  PAY1 0.907  PAY2 0.872  PAY3 0.888  Privacy  PRIV1 0.846  PRIV2 0.925  PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759  OPER2 0.913  OPER3 0.745  OPER4 0.675	Time Awareness	
Impulsiveness   IMP1	TIM1	0.121
IMP1       0.884         IMP2       0.892         Innovation       0.848         INOV1       0.848         INOV2       0.943         Store Relevance       0.836         STO2       0.836         Risk Perception       0.836         Payment       0.907         PAY1       0.907         PAY2       0.872         PAY3       0.888         Privacy       0.925         PRIV1       0.846         PRIV2       0.925         PRIV3       0.917         Operational       0.0759         OPER1       0.759         OPER2       0.913         OPER3       0.745         OPER4       0.675	TIM2	0.960
IMP1       0.884         IMP2       0.892         Innovation       0.848         INOV1       0.848         INOV2       0.943         Store Relevance       0.836         STO2       0.836         Risk Perception       0.836         Payment       0.907         PAY1       0.907         PAY2       0.872         PAY3       0.888         Privacy       0.925         PRIV1       0.846         PRIV2       0.925         PRIV3       0.917         Operational       0.0759         OPER1       0.759         OPER2       0.913         OPER3       0.745         OPER4       0.675	Impulsiveness	
Innovation   Inn		0.884
INOV1	IMP2	0.892
INOV1	Innovation	
Store Relevance         STO1       0.836         STO2       0.836         Risk Perception       Payment         PAY1       0.907         PAY2       0.872         PAY3       0.888         Privacy       0.925         PRIV1       0.846         PRIV2       0.925         PRIV3       0.917         Operational       0.0759         OPER1       0.759         OPER3       0.745         OPER4       0.675		0.848
STO1     0.836       STO2     0.836       Risk Perception     0.836       Payment     0.907       PAY1     0.907       PAY2     0.872       PAY3     0.888       Privacy     0.925       PRIV1     0.846       PRIV2     0.925       PRIV3     0.917       Operational     0.759       OPER2     0.913       OPER3     0.745       OPER4     0.675	INOV2	0.943
STO2     0.836       Risk Perception       Payment       PAY1     0.907       PAY2     0.872       PAY3     0.888       Privacy     PRIV1       PRIV2     0.925       PRIV3     0.917       Operational     0.759       OPER2     0.913       OPER3     0.745       OPER4     0.675	Store Relevance	
Risk Perception  Payment  PAY1	STO1	0.836
Payment  PAY1 0.907  PAY2 0.872  PAY3 0.888  Privacy  PRIV1 0.846  PRIV2 0.925  PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759  OPER2 0.913  OPER3 0.745  OPER4 0.675	STO2	0.836
PAY1 0.907 PAY2 0.872 PAY3 0.888 Privacy PRIV1 0.846 PRIV2 0.925 PRIV3 0.917 Operational OPER1 0.759 OPER2 0.913 OPER3 0.745 OPER4 0.675	Risk Perception	
PAY2 0.872 PAY3 0.888  Privacy PRIV1 0.846 PRIV2 0.925 PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759 OPER2 0.913 OPER3 0.745 OPER4 0.675	Payment	
PAY3 0.888  Privacy  PRIV1 0.846  PRIV2 0.925  PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759  OPER2 0.913  OPER3 0.745  OPER4 0.675	PAY1	0.907
Privacy       PRIV1     0.846       PRIV2     0.925       PRIV3     0.917       Operational       OPER1     0.759       OPER2     0.913       OPER3     0.745       OPER4     0.675	PAY2	0.872
Privacy       PRIV1     0.846       PRIV2     0.925       PRIV3     0.917       Operational       OPER1     0.759       OPER2     0.913       OPER3     0.745       OPER4     0.675	PAY3	0.888
PRIV1 0.846 PRIV2 0.925 PRIV3 0.917 Operational OPER1 0.759 OPER2 0.913 OPER3 0.745 OPER4 0.675		
PRIV3 0.917  Operational  OPER1 0.759  OPER2 0.913  OPER3 0.745  OPER4 0.675		0.846
Operational           OPER1         0.759           OPER2         0.913           OPER3         0.745           OPER4         0.675	PRIV2	0.925
OPER1       0.759         OPER2       0.913         OPER3       0.745         OPER4       0.675	PRIV3	0.917
OPER1       0.759         OPER2       0.913         OPER3       0.745         OPER4       0.675	Operational	
OPER3 0.745 OPER4 0.675		0.759
OPER4 0.675	OPER2	0.913
0.0.0	OPER3	0.745
Technological Anxiety	OPER4	0.675
1 centrological Allalety	Technological Anxiety	
ANX1 0.909		0.909
ANX2 0.883	ANX2	0.883
ANX3 0.850	ANX3	0.850

Outer Loadings – Electronics Model								
Shopping Attitud	les							
Enthusiasm								
ENT1	0.526							
ENT2	0.709							
ENT3	0.847							
Cost Awareness								
COS1	0.816							
COS2	0.858							
COS3	0.849							
Time Awareness								
TIM1	0.694							
TIM2	0.916							
Impulsiveness								
IMP1	0.889							
IMP2	0.822							
Innovation								
INOV1	0.587							
INOV2	0.761							
INOV3	0.756							
Store Relevance								
STO1	0.678							
STO2	0.971							
Risk Perception								
Payment								
PAY1	0.908							
PAY2	0.856							
PAY3	0.886							
Privacy	•							
PRIV1	0.846							
PRIV2	0.924							
PRIV3	0.913							
Operational	•							
OPER1	0.766							
OPER2	0.918							
OPER3	0.755							
OPER4	0.669							
Technological A								
ANX1	0.893							
ANX2	0.875							
ANIV2	0.976							

Table 3: Outer Loadings of the fashion and electronics' models

Although, in certain circumstances it is advantageous to use only a single indicator to measure a construct, namely when one intends to measure a observable variable (Bergkvist & Rossiter, 2007), most of the marketing literature recommends the use of multiple indicators in measuring more complex and abstract phenomena, such as behavioural variables (Petrescu, 2013). Following the outer-loadings criterion, the temporal awareness constructs (in both models) and the store relevance construct (in the electronics model) would be limited to only one indicator, which could jeopardize the reliability of the analysis (Jr. Hair et al., 2017) and for this reason, the Temporal Consciousness construct was excluded from the fashion products and electronic products model and the Store Relevance construct was excluded from the Electronic products model.

To verify the existence of internal consistency, we use the Composite Reliability criterion. In the case of exploratory studies, the values associated with the criterion will be considered acceptable if they vary between 0.6 and 0.7, in the case of more advanced studies, values between 0.7 and 0.9 are required in order to be considered satisfactory (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Straub & Gefen, 2004).

The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) criterion indicates, on average, how much the construct explains the variance of its respective indicators. The construct is expected to explain more than half (0.5) of the variance of its respective indicators (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). Values below 0.5 indicate that, on average, more error remains in the indicators than the variance explained by the construct. It is confirmed that both models under analysis fully respect both the AVE and Composite Reliability criteria.

Fashion Model		
Variables	Composite	AVE
	Reliability	
Attitude Variables		
Enthusiasm	0.924	0.858
Cost Awareness	0.888	0.727
Impulsiveness	0.882	0.789
Innovation	0.888	0.799
Store Relevance	0.826	0.704
Risk Perception		
Payment	0.914	0.781
Privacy	0.923	0.801
Operational	0.871	0.695
Technological	0.913	0.778
Anxiety		

Electronic Model		
Variables	Composite	AVE
	Reliability	
Attitude Variables		
Enthusiasm	0.817	0.691
Cost Awareness	0.879	0.707
Impulsiveness	0.846	0.733
Innovation	0.764	0.620
Risk Perception		
Payment	0.914	0.781
Privacy	0.923	0.801
Operational	0.871	0.695
Technological	0.913	0.777
Anxiety		

Table 4: Composite Reliability and AVE of the fashion and electronics' models

In the second phase of the validation of the reflective model, Discriminant Validity was tested – an extension that validates whether each construct is unique and captures unique phenomena that are not captured by any other construct (Jr. Hair et al., 2017). In order to assess Discriminant Validity, three measures were used: (1) the indicator's outer loadings, where the indicator loadings under the associated construct should be higher than the same loadings on other constructs than the associated one (cross-loadings), if the weight of an indicator is higher in a construct other than the respective one, it is beneficial to reconsider the adequacy of the indicator since it is not clear as to the phenomenon it reflects (Chin, 1998) – see annex (1) and (2); (2) The Fornell-Larcker implies that the square root of the AVE criterion of each construct must be greater than its highest correlation with any other construct, that is, it assumes that the construct in question shares more variance with the respective indicators than with the remaining constructs (Jr. Hair et al., 2017).

## 4.3 Evaluation of the Structural Model

After the validation of the measurement model, the validation of the structural model follows. The evaluation process of the structural model started by identifying the presence of multicollinearity in the constructs through the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) criterion, the value of this measure should be greater than 1 and less than 5 so that there are no problems related to multicollinearity (Kock & Lynn, 2012). The values obtained for both models are considerably far from the critical values, so it is confirmed that the results achieved do not reflect multicollinearity problems for the present study.

The relevance of the significance of the path coefficients was evaluated. Path coefficients can be interpreted by Beta coefficients standardized in Ordinary Least Squares regression (Henseler, Ringle, & Sinkovics, 2009), the resulting values represent the estimated change in the endogenous construct by each unit of variation in the exogenous construct. Thus, the bootstrapping method was used in the evaluation of path coefficients, with 5000 re-sample samples in which each sample consists of 199 observations, and a critical value for a two-tailed test of 1.96, which corresponds to a level of 5% significance.

#### 4.4 E-commerce impact

According to table 5, approximately 44% of respondents reveal that the emergence of online commerce resulted in a reduction in their travel to physical stores, reflecting an effect of replacing the face-to-face channel with the online channel. However, the percentage of respondents who declare that the frequency of their trips to physical stores were not impacted by the effect of online commerce refers to 56%. The results show that, although the neutral effect predominates among most cases under analysis, the weight of individuals who reduced their trips to physical stores is still significant.

Impact	N	%
Substantially decreased	15	8%
Decreased	71	36%
It has not changed	111	56%
Increased	2	1%
Increased Substantially	0	0

Total	199	100%
-------	-----	------

**Table 5:** Impact of e-commerce on the frequency of visits to physical stores.

To the question "How has the frequency with which I shop changed, after the emergence of online commerce?" most respondents reported no changes (58%), however, approximately 34% of individuals report having increased the total frequency of purchases after the appearance of e-commerce.

Impact	N	%
Substantially decreased	4	2%
Decreased	13	7%
It has not changed	115	58%
Increased	60	30%
Increased Substantially	7	4%
Total	199	100%

Table 6: Impact of online commerce on total purchase frequency.

## 4.5 Interactions between purchasing platforms and determinant factors

The results show that young females are more likely to look for product information in online stores. Regarding the frequency of purchases in an online channel, the results indicate that individuals with less purchasing power tend to generate a greater number of purchases ( $\beta = -0.124; p < 0.05$ ), contrary to what was concluded by (Etminani-Ghasrodashti & Hamidi, 2020; Xi et al., 2018; Zhen et al., 2016) who argue that individuals who earn a higher income tend to generate more interactions with the online shopping channel. In terms of attitude, the analysis allows us to conclude that a higher frequency of searching for information on the Internet is positively associated with a higher level of enthusiasm ( $\beta = 0.282; p < 0.05$ ) and cost awareness ( $\beta = 0.143; p < 0.01$ ), while those looking for information in physical stores more frequently have, equally, a high level of enthusiasm for the product ( $\beta = 0.215; p < 0.01$ ) and like to innovate in relation to new fashions and new brands ( $\beta = 0.184; p < 0.01$ ). Thus, we can infer a clear impact of the attitude variables on the constructs related to the frequency of search for information, as opposed to the impact shown on the purchase frequency variables. Anxiety associated with the use of technology in the online shopping process has a negative impact on purchases on an online platform ( $\beta = 0.152; p < 0.05$ ) and an inverse impact on the frequency of purchases on physical platforms ( $\beta = 0.176; p < 0.05$ ).

Additionally, the higher the frequency of searching in physical stores, the greater the frequency of searching in online stores ( $\beta$ =0.308; p<0.01) and the frequency of purchases in physical stores ( $\beta$ =0.675; p<0.01). The higher the frequency of searching in online stores, the greater the frequency of searching in physical stores ( $\beta$ =0.377; p<0.01) and the frequency of shopping in online stores ( $\beta$ =0.46; p<0.01).

	Online Frequenc		earch	In-Store Frequency	S	earch	Online Frequency	Вι	ıying	In-Store Frequency	Вι	ıying	Risk Percep	otion	
	$R^2 = 0.52$	$Q^2 = 0.1$	347	$R^2 = 0.328$	$Q^2 = 0.3$	15	$R^2 = 0.441$	$Q^2 = 0.30$	60	$R^2 = 0.613$	$Q^2 = 0.5$	28	$R^2 = 0.438$	$Q^2 = 0.334$	
	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level
Attitude Variables		•			•			•						•	
Enthusiasm	0.282	0	***	0.215	0.015	**	0.091	0.235	NS	-0.022	0.719	NS			
Cost Awareness	0.143	0.022	**	-0.082	0.24	NS	0.014	0.825	NS	-0.037	0.461	NS			i l
Impulsiveness	0.080	0.266	NS	0.043	0.602	NS	0.088	0.279	NS	0.122	0.056	*			Ī
Innovation	0.039	0.599	NS	0.184	0.009	***	0.024	0.72	NS	-0.056	0.309	NS	į		Ì
Store Relevance	0.118	0.056	*	0.008	0.908	NS	-0.003	0.966	NS	0.032	0.544	NS			i l
Demographic Var	iables														
Gender	-0.164	0.012	**	-0.024	0.715	NS	0.030	0.656	NS	-0.028	0.692	NS			
Age	-0.280	0	***	0.039	0.558	NS	-0.057	0.411	NS	0.114	0.056	*			
Level of	0.036	0.574	NS	-0.046	0.494	NS	-0.021	0.708	NS	0.021	0.728	NS			
education															
Student	-0.034	0.695	NS	0.119	0.314	NS	-0.090	0.295	NS	-0.087	0.439	NS			
Student/Worker	0.028	0.698	NS	0.005	0.962	NS	0.069	0.405	NS	-0.078	0.336	NS			
Worker	0.141	0.098	*	0.029	0.807	NS	-0.004	0.957	NS	-0.104	0.352	NS			
Purchasing	-0.012	0.844	NS	-0.076	0.226	NS	-0.124	0.035	**	-0.029	0.541	NS			
Power															
Technological	-0.17	0.02	**	0.011	0.904	NS	-0.152	0.049	**	0.176	0.023	**			
Anxiety															
Risk Perception	-0.018	0.79	NS	-0.056	0.44	NS	-0.013	0.857	NS	-0.086	0.299	NS			
Payment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.340	0	***
Privacy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.084	0.316	NS
Operational	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.303	0	***
Online Buying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.051	0.541	NS			
Frequency			ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ			ļ
In-story Buying	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.074	0.551	NS	-	-	-			
Frequency					-				NG			***			
In-story Search	0.308	0	***	-	-	-	0.012	0.883	NS	0.675	0	***			
Frequency			ļ	0.055		***	0.45		***	0.000	0.205	NG			!
Online Search	-	-	-	0.377	0	***	0.46	0	***	0.089	0.386	NS			
Frequency									<u> </u>			<u> </u>			

**Note:** NS= No Significant; \* p<0,10; \*\* p<0,05; \*\*\* p<0,01

Table 7: Statistical relevance of the Fashion Products model.

As shown in Table 8, the model indicates that male individuals are more likely to look for information ( $\beta$ =0.362; p<0.01) and purchase the products on the internet ( $\beta$ =0.149; p<0.05), in addition, younger individuals tend to search for information on online platforms ( $\beta$ =-0.172; p<0.01). In terms of professional status, consumers whose professional status is a student, student worker or worker do not tend to purchase this type of product in physical stores. In terms of attitude variables, the proposed model allows us to conclude that individuals who tend to make more impulsive purchases prefer the online channel to buy ( $\beta$ =0.211;p<0.01) and the frequency of search for information about the products on the Internet is positively associated with an attitude of enthusiasm ( $\beta$ =0.326;p<0.01), cost awareness ( $\beta$ =0.159;p<0.1) and impulsiveness ( $\beta$ =0.128;p<0.1).

Additionally, the higher the frequency of searching in physical stores, the greater the frequency of searching in online stores ( $\beta$ =0.269; p<0.01) and the frequency of purchases in physical stores ( $\beta$ =0.51; p<0.01). The higher the frequency of searching in online stores, the greater the frequency of searching in physical stores ( $\beta$ =0.421; p<0.01) and the frequency of shopping in online stores ( $\beta$ =0.332; p<0.01).

	Online	S	earch	In-Store	S	earch	Online	Bı	ıying	In-Store	Bı	ıying	Risk Percer	otion	
	Frequenc	y		Frequency			Frequency			Frequency					
		2		2				2			2			2	
	$R^2 = 0.52$	$Q^2 = 0.3$	347	$R^2 = 0.328$	$Q^2 = 0.3$	15	$R^2 = 0.441$	$Q^2 = 0.3$	60	$R^2 = 0.613$	$Q^2 = 0.53$	28	$R^2 = 0.438$	$Q^2 = 0.334$	
	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level	Path Coefficient	p-value	Sign. level
Attitude Variables	3														
Enthusiasm	0.326	0	***	-0,056	0,556	NS	0,068	0,34	NS	0,007	0,928	NS	-	-	-
Cost Awareness	0.159	0.065	*	-0,082	0,375	NS	0,029	0,708	NS	0,003	0,974	NS	-	j -	j -
Impulsiveness	0.128	0.054	*	0,088	0,285	NS	0,211	0,001	***	0,044	0,563	NS	-	-	-
Innovation	0.067	0.346	NS	0,077	0,442	NS	-0,075	0,358	NS	0,045	0,629	NS	-	-	-
Demographic Variables															
Gender	0.362	0	***	-0,03	0,757	NS	0,149	0,011	**	0,067	0,415	NS	-	-	-

Age	-0.172	0.005	***	-0,032	0,678	NS	0,036	0,604	NS	-0,051	0,462	NS	-	-	-
Level of	0.035	0.596	NS	-0,077	0,299	NS	0,095	0,142	NS	-0,117	0,08	*	j -	j -	j - l
education															
Student	0.099	0.489	NS	0,075	0,586	NS	0,093	0,359	NS	-0,459	0,007	***	-	-	-
Student/Worker	0.159	0.139	NS	-0,101	0,301	NS	0,159	0,109	NS	-0,297	0,025	**	-	-	-
Worker	0.183	0.205	NS	0	0,998	NS	0,111	0,33	NS	-0,392	0,031	**	-	-	-
Purchasing	-0.006	0.918	NS	0,039	0,624	NS	-0,04	0,56	NS	0,064	0,309	NS	-	-	-
Power															
Technological	-0.095	0.193	NS	0,064	0,389	NS	-0,081	0,319	NS	0,034	0,645	NS	-	-	-
Anxiety															
Risk Perception	0.02	0.746	NS	0,016	0,841	NS	-0,051	0,505	NS	0,015	0,856	NS	-	-	-
Payment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,362	0	***
Privacy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,098	0,267	NS
Operational	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,283	0	***
Online Buying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,053	0,597	NS	-	-	-
Frequency															
In-story Buying	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,051	0,611	NS	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frequency															
In-story Search	0.269	0	***	-	-	-	-0,021	0,835	NS	0,51	0	***	-	-	-
Frequency		[			<u> </u>		ļ			ļ					
Online Search	-	-	-	0,421	0	***	0,332	0	***	-0,102	0,302	NS	-	-	-
Frequency															

Table 8: Statistical relevance of the Electronic Products model.

#### 5 Discussion and conclusion

The aim of this study is to understand the impact of the emergence of online commerce in the retail sector, in the Metropolitan Area of Lisbon, for two different types of products: fashion products and electronic products. A descriptive analysis was carried out to determine the predominant impact on the community, followed by an SEM analysis that allowed for a deeper understanding of the interactions between the different channels and to characterize the customer profile that most uses each platform during the purchase process.

The results of the analysis suggest that, although most of the sample is resistant to the emergence of online commerce, there is a clear impact on the daily lives of Lisbon' residents. Approximately 44% of respondents claim to have decreased the frequency of trips to physical stores after the emergence of online commerce, in addition, 34% of respondents claim to have increased the total frequency of purchase. The results obtained reflect a greater traffic to online stores that result in a decrease in the need to travel to the physical store. Although in a lower proportion, the pattern identified here is similar to that found by K. Shi et al. (2019) who concluded that online commerce has a positive effect on demand in the retail sector.

For both products under analysis, the study shows us that the probability of completion of the purchase occurring on any of the platforms increases when the search for information occurs on the same platform, however, there is a greater tendency for the completion of the process to occur on the physical platform. Possibly justified by the level of product complexity, the probability of the customer remaining on the same platform during the electronics purchase process is marginally lower compared to the fashion product model. (Kim et al., 2019) found that low-complexity products do not require a high level of research, which, consequently, will make consumers prefer to simplify the purchase process and remain on a single platform during the same. In addition, (CTT - Correios de Portugal, 2020) concluded that, in Portugal, household appliances and electronic and computer equipment are the product categories that consumers most search online before purchasing in physical retail, the results obtained show clear evidence of use of more than one platform for this type of product. From the point of view of the pre-purchase process, the frequency of searching for information in online stores and the frequency of searching for information in physical stores are positively associated with each other, for both products, however, the search for information online it is more likely to be associated with trips to physical stores than the search for information in physical stores leads us to search for information online, as suggested by Cao et al. (2012) and Zhai et al. (2017). The data obtained show that the population of Lisbon frequently uses the online channel when searching for information, however, this is not the case when purchasing.

In the field of fashion product information search, individuals who look for online stores tend to be young women, with low level of technological anxiety and a high level of enthusiasm and cost awareness, while physical stores are more often sought by a profile of customer equally enthusiastic about the buying process and willing to innovate. At the time of purchase, individuals with less technological anxiety and less income are more likely to use the online platform more often, as opposed to those with greater technological anxiety who resort to physical stores.

Regarding the electronic products model, young males with a high level of enthusiasm for the purchase process tend to look for information in online stores, while men with a tendency to make unplanned decisions during the purchase process tend to generate a greater number of purchases via the online platform.

The completion of this study also allowed us to infer that, despite the perceived risk not being an influencing factor in the frequency of purchase and search for information on any of the platforms for the Lisbon population, it is substantially impacted by the payment and operational dimension. The risk of privacy does not have a relevant impact on the interviewees' perception of risk, which can be explained by the theory of the level of construction of psychological distance (CLT), which argues that the perception of privacy can be considered psychologically distant from what it is reflected in a tendency to postpone taking action when the individual is faced with an online purchase, whose benefits are characterized as more immediate, close and tangible (Bandara, Fernando, & Akter, 2020). Additionally, technological anxiety generated by the use of technology only presents itself as a relevant factor in the model of fashion products, which is consistent with the results achieved by Faqih (2016).

The differences between the purchase processes of electronic products and fashion products are clear, particularly the characterization of the users of each platform, it is therefore necessary to expand the diversity of products under analysis as well as the determining factors in the frequency of use of the platforms in future research. In a sector characterized by constant development, it is imperative to understand the wishes and needs of customers to anticipate behaviour and thus improve the consumer experience (Pina & Dias, 2021). This study contributes to the increment of information regarding the impact of online commerce in the retail sector in Lisbon, Portugal.

#### References

- Bandara, R., Fernando, M., & Akter, S. (2020). Explicating the privacy paradox: A qualitative inquiry of online shopping consumers. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 52, 101947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101947
- Bergkvist, L., & Rossiter, J. R. (2007). The Predictive Validity of Multiple-Item versus Single-Item Measures of the Same Constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 44(2), 175–184. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.44.2.175
- Calderwood, E., & Freathy, P. (2014). Consumer mobility in the Scottish isles: The impact of internet adoption upon retail travel patterns. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 59, 192–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2013.11.012
- Cambra-Fierro, J., Kamakura, W. A., Melero-Polo, I., & Sese, F. J. (2016). "Are multichannel customers really more valuable? An analysis of banking services." *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(1), 208–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2015.12.007
- Cao, X. J., Xu, Z., & Douma, F. (2012). The interactions between e-shopping and traditional in-store shopping: an application of structural equations model. *Transportation*, 39(5), 957–974. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-011-9376-3
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. Modern Methods for Business Research, In G. A. M, 295–336.
- Clement, J. (2019). Number of digital buyers worldwide from 2014 to 2021 (in billions). Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/statistics/251666/number-of-digital-buyers-worldwide/
- CTT Correios de Portugal, D. de E.-C. (2020). CTT e-Commerce Report 2020. Retrieved from https://www.ctt.pt/contentAsset/raw-data/e7271d5f-adb5-4cfc-ac00-1120717f8839/ficheiro/export/Alberto Pimenta\_ecommerceday2020\_vf.pdf
- Dias, A. L., & Lages, L. F. (2021). Measuring market-sensing capabilities for new product development success. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. No. Ahead of Print., Vol. Ahead of Print. 1-21
- Dias, Á. L., Manuel, E. C., Dutschke, G., Pereira, R., & Pereira, L. (2021). Economic crisis effects on SME dynamic capabilities. *International Journal of Learning and Change*, 13(1), 63-80.
- Ding, Y., & Lu, H. (2017). The interactions between online shopping and personal activity travel behavior: an analysis with a GPS-based activity travel diary. *Transportation*, 44(2), 311–324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-015-9639-5
- Etminani-Ghasrodashti, R., & Hamidi, S. (2020). Online shopping as a substitute or complement to in-store shopping trips in Iran? *Cities*, 103, 102768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102768
- Faqih, K. M. S. (2016). An empirical analysis of factors predicting the behavioral intention to adopt Internet shopping technology among non-shoppers in a developing country context: Does gender matter? *Journal of Retailing*

- and Consumer Services, 30, 140-164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.01.016
- Fernandes, N. (2020). Economic Effects of Coronavirus Outbreak (COVID-19) on the World Economy. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3557504
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312
- Foroudi, P., Gupta, S., Sivarajah, U., & Broderick, A. (2018). Investigating the effects of smart technology on customer dynamics and customer experience. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 80, 271–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.11.014
- Gauri, D. K., Jindal, R. P., Ratchford, B., Fox, E., Bhatnagar, A., Pandey, A., ... Howerton, E. (2020). Evolution of retail formats: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Retailing*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2020.11.002
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)000020014
- Jr. Hair, J. F., M. Hult, G. T., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM).
- Katawetawaraks, C., & Wang, C. L. (2015). Online Shopper Behavior: Influences of Online Shopping Decision. Asian Journal of Business Research, 1(2), 66–74. https://doi.org/10.14707/ajbr.110012
- Kim, J. (Jeanne), Song, H., Choi, J., Kim, Y., & Hong, J. (2019). Channel stickiness in the shopping journey for electronics: Evidence from China and South Korea. *Journal of Business Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.015
- Kock, N., & Lynn, G. (2012). Lateral Collinearity and Misleading Results in Variance-Based SEM: An Illustration and Recommendations. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(7), 546–580. https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00302
- Lee, R. J., Sener, I. N., Mokhtarian, P. L., & Handy, S. L. (2017). Relationships between the online and in-store shopping frequency of Davis, California residents. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 100, 40–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.03.001
- Maat, K., & Konings, R. (2018). Accessibility or Innovation? Store Shopping Trips versus Online Shopping. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2672(50), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118794044
- Mokhtarian, Patricia L. (2004). A conceptual analysis of the transportation impacts of B2C e-commerce. *Transportation*, 31(3), 257–284. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PORT.0000025428.64128.d3
- Mokhtarian, Patricia L. (2008). Telecommunications and Travel: The Case for Complementarity. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 6(2), 43–57. https://doi.org/10.1162/108819802763471771
- Mokhtarian, Patricia Lyon. (1990). A typology of relationships between telecommunications and transportation. *Transportation Research Part A: General*, 24(3), 231–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2607(90)90060-J
- Pereira, L., Pinto, M., Costa, R. L. D., Dias, Á., & Gonçalves, R. (2021). The New SWOT for a Sustainable World. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(1), 18.

  https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010084
- Petrescu, M. (2013). Marketing research using single-item indicators in structural equation models. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 1(2), 99–117. https://doi.org/10.1057/jma.2013.7
- Pina, R. & Dias, Á. (2021). The Influence of Brand Experiences on Consumer-Based Brand Equity. *Journal of Brand Management*, 28, 99–115. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41262-020-00215-5
- Salomon, I. (1986). Telecommunications and travel relationships: a review. *Transportation Research Part A: General*, 20(3), 223–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2607(86)90096-8
- Schmid, B., & Axhausen, K. W. (2019). In-store or online shopping of search and experience goods: A hybrid choice approach. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 31, 156–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocm.2018.03.001
- Shankar, V., Kalyanam, K., Setia, P., Golmohammadi, A., Tirunillai, S., Douglass, T., ... Waddoups, R. (2020). How Technology is Changing Retail. *Journal of Retailing*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2020.10.006
- Shen, X.-L., Li, Y.-J., Sun, Y., & Wang, N. (2018). Channel integration quality, perceived fluency and omnichannel service usage: The moderating roles of internal and external usage experience. *Decision Support Systems*, 109, 61–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DSS.2018.01.006

- Shi, K., De Vos, J., Yang, Y., & Witlox, F. (2019). Does e-shopping replace shopping trips? Empirical evidence from Chengdu, China. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 122, 21–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.01.027
- Shi, S., Wang, Y., Chen, X., & Zhang, Q. (2020). Conceptualization of omnichannel customer experience and its impact on shopping intention: A mixed-method approach. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50, 325–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJINFOMGT.2019.09.001
- Sim, L. L., & Koi, S. M. (2002). Singapore's Internet shoppers and their impact on traditional shopping patterns. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 9(2), 115–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-6989(01)00029-7
- Straub, D., & Gefen, D. (2004). Validation Guidelines for IS Positivist Research. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 13. https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.01324
- Tandon, U., Kiran, R., & Sah, A. N. (2018). The influence of website functionality, drivers and perceived risk on customer satisfaction in online shopping: an emerging economy case. *Information Systems and E-Business Management*, 16(1), 57–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-017-0341-3
- Verkijika, S. F. (2018). Factors influencing the adoption of mobile commerce applications in Cameroon. *Telematics and Informatics*, 35(6), 1665–1674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2018.04.012
- WELTEVREDEN, J. W. J., & RIETBERGEN, T. VAN. (2007). E-SHOPPING VERSUS CITY CENTRE SHOPPING: THE ROLE OF PERCEIVED CITY CENTRE ATTRACTIVENESS. *Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie*, 98(1), 68–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9663.2007.00377.x
- Willems, K., Smolders, A., Brengman, M., Luyten, K., & Schöning, J. (2017). The path-to-purchase is paved with digital opportunities: An inventory of shopper-oriented retail technologies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 124, 228–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.10.066
- Wolfinbarger, M., & Gilly, M. C. (2001). Shopping Online for Freedom, Control, and Fun. *California Management Review*, 43(2), 34–55. https://doi.org/10.2307/41166074
- Xi, G., Zhen, F., Cao, X. (Jason), & Xu, F. (2018). The interaction between e-shopping and store shopping: empirical evidence from Nanjing, China. *Transportation Letters*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/19427867.2018.1546797
- Zhai, Q., Cao, X., Mokhtarian, P. L., & Zhen, F. (2017). The interactions between e-shopping and store shopping in the shopping process for search goods and experience goods. *Transportation*, 44(5), 885–904. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-016-9683-9
- Zhen, F., Cao, X. (Jason), Mokhtarian, P. L., & Xi, G. (2016). Associations Between Online Purchasing and Store Purchasing for Four Types of Products in Nanjing, China. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2566(1), 93–101. https://doi.org/10.3141/2566-10
- Zhen, F., Du, X., Cao, J., & Mokhtarian, P. L. (2018). The association between spatial attributes and e-shopping in the shopping process for search goods and experience goods: Evidence from Nanjing. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 66, 291–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2017.11.007