

Repositório ISCTE-IUL

Deposited in *Repositório ISCTE-IUL*:

2024-10-23

Deposited version:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Carvalho, L., Diogo, C., Mendes, S. & Soares, H. (2024). On the convexity of the quaternionic essential numerical range. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*. 67 (3), 838-851

Further information on publisher's website:

10.1017/S0013091524000336

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Carvalho, L., Diogo, C., Mendes, S. & Soares, H. (2024). On the convexity of the quaternionic essential numerical range. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*. 67 (3), 838-851, which has been published in final form at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0013091524000336>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

ON THE CONVEXITY OF THE QUATERNIONIC ESSENTIAL NUMERICAL RANGE

LUÍS CARVALHO, CRISTINA DIOGO, SÉRGIO MENDES, AND HELENA SOARES

ABSTRACT. The numerical range in the quaternionic setting is, in general, a non convex subset of the quaternions. The essential numerical range is a refinement of the numerical range that only keeps the elements that have, in a certain sense, infinite multiplicity. We prove that the essential numerical range of a bounded linear operator on a quaternionic Hilbert space is convex. A quaternionic analogue of Lancaster theorem, relating the closure of the numerical range and its essential numerical range, is also provided.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the field of complex numbers or the skew field $\mathbb{H}$ of Hamilton quaternions. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space over $\mathbb{F}$ and let T be a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$. The numerical range of T is the set

$$W(T) = W_{\mathbb{F}}(T) = \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1, x \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is the inner product on $\mathcal{H}$. This subset of $\mathbb{F}$ was introduced and studied by Toeplitz in 1918, who proved that, when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, the outer boundary of $W(T)$ is a convex curve and conjectured that the whole numerical range was convex, see [To]. Shortly after, in 1919, Hausdorff [Ha] proved the conjecture. Since then, this result is known as the Toeplitz-Hausdorff Theorem.

Over the years, the investigation of the numerical range continuously increased, including the cases of linear operators on infinite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces and complex Banach spaces. In 1951, Kippenhahn [Ki] introduced the study of numerical range for quaternionic operators, i.e, when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$. Soon it became evident that, although sharing many properties of its complex counterpart, the quaternionic numerical range was no longer always convex. The bild of an operator T , also introduced in [Ki], is the intersection $B(T) = W_{\mathbb{H}}(T) \cap \mathbb{C}$. Since every quaternion is, up to unitary equivalence, a complex number, many properties of the numerical range are encoded in the bild, including convexity. In fact, $W_{\mathbb{H}}(T)$ is convex if, and only if, $B(T)$ is convex, see [CDM3]. However, the upper bild $B^+(T)$, which is the intersection of $W_{\mathbb{H}}(T)$ with the closure of the upper half-plane, is always convex. The pursuit of convexity remained an important issue in the quaternionic setting, with Au-Yeung establishing in [Ye1] necessary and sufficient conditions for $W_{\mathbb{H}}(T)$ to be convex.

In a series of recent papers [CDM1] - [CDM5] the convexity and shape of the numerical range of quaternionic matrices have been studied by the first three named authors. The notion of S-spectrum (see [CGK, CSS]) and its relation with the numerical range on infinite dimensional quaternionic Hilbert spaces was addressed in the recent paper [CDM6]. Another

Date: May 22, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A12, 47S05.

Key words and phrases. quaternions, numerical range, essential numerical range.

geometric object in the realm of infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces is the essential numerical range of an operator T . It is defined as the set

$$W_e(T) = W_{e,\mathbb{F}}(T) = \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})} \overline{W(T + K)},$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of compact operators on the $\mathbb{F}$ -Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Taking K to be the zero operator in the above definition, we see that $W_{e,\mathbb{F}}(T) \subseteq \overline{W_{\mathbb{F}}(T)}$.

This paper is devoted to the study of the essential numerical range in the quaternionic setting. The main result is theorem 3.2 where we show that, for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, the essential numerical range $W_e(T) = W_{e,\mathbb{H}}(T)$ is always a convex set. Thus, at least convexity of this essential part of the numerical range is guaranteed even in the quaternionic setting. We emphasize that this is a surprising and unexpected result since the essential numerical range is the intersection of non-convex sets and nothing indicates it is convex in its formulation.

To secure this result we use a general property (lemma 3.1): given a pair of unitary sequences $x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, a judicious choice of N and M shows that the following vectors are close to orthogonal

$$\langle x_N, y_M \rangle \approx \langle Tx_N, y_M \rangle \approx \langle T^* x_N, y_M \rangle \approx 0.$$

We can then form an essential sequence (see definition 2.3) for the convex combination $\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)}$, $\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)} \in W_e(T)$, with elements of the form $\alpha x_N^{(1)} + \beta y_M^{(2)}$. The referred quasi orthogonality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x_N^{(1)} + \beta x_M^{(2)}\|^2 &\approx \alpha^2 \langle x_N^{(1)}, x_N^{(1)} \rangle + \beta^2 \langle x_M^{(2)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle = 1 \\ \langle T(\alpha x_N^{(1)} + \beta x_M^{(2)}), \alpha x_N^{(1)} + \beta x_M^{(2)} \rangle &\approx \alpha^2 \langle Tx_N^{(1)}, x_N^{(1)} \rangle + \beta^2 \langle Tx_M^{(2)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle \approx \alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We finish the paper with theorem 3.3, where we prove a quaternionic version of Lancaster theorem relating the numerical range and the essential numerical range, see [L]. Due to the nonconvexity of the numerical range, we need to introduce the notion of inter-convex hull (see (3.7)). The result asserts that the closure of the quaternionic numerical range is precisely the inter-convex hull of the quaternionic essential numerical range and the quaternionic numerical range, *i.e.* $\overline{W(T)} = \text{iconv}\{W_e(T), W(T)\}$. In spite of the formal similarities with its complex counterpart, there are worth mentioning differences. Foremost we can not infer that the numerical range is closed when it contains the essential numerical range (see remark 3.5) as in complex Hilbert spaces [L, Corollary 1]. This is because the quaternionic numerical range lacks convexity and the quaternionic Lancaster theorem uses the weaker notion of inter-convex hull. In addition, remark 3.5 tells us that, even though the upper bild is convex, we still do not recover Lancaster theorem in its complex form.

1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

The division ring of real quaternions $\mathbb{H}$, also known as Hamilton quaternions, is an algebra over the field of real numbers with basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$ and product defined by $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$. Given a quaternion $q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k$, its conjugate is $q^* = q_0 - q_1 i - q_2 j - q_3 k$. We call $\text{Re}(q) = \frac{q+q^*}{2}$ and $\text{Im}(q) = \frac{q-q^*}{2}$ the real and imaginary parts of q , respectively. The norm of q is the nonnegative real number $|q| = \sqrt{qq^*}$. Two quaternions $q, q' \in \mathbb{H}$ are similar if there is a unitary $u \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $u^* q u = q'$, in which case we write $q \sim q'$. This is an equivalence relation and we denote the equivalence class of q by $[q]$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote an infinite dimensional two-sided Hilbert space over $\mathbb{H}$. In particular, the norm of $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined by the underlying $\mathbb{H}$ -inner product as $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. The inner product verifies the usual Cauchy-Schwartz inequality: $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$, for every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. The space of bounded, right $\mathbb{H}$ -linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, its closed ideal of compact operators by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and the group of invertible operators by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{-1}$.

Every linear operator T considered in the text will be a bounded linear operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Given $q \in \mathbb{H}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we define the operator $\Delta_q(T) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\Delta_q(T) = T^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(q)T + |q|^2I,$$

where I is the identity operator. Clearly, $\Delta_q(T) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The S-spectrum is the set

$$\sigma^S(T) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : \Delta_q(T) \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{-1}\},$$

which seems to be the appropriate notion for spectral analysis of linear operators on infinite dimensional quaternionic Hilbert spaces, see [CGK, CSS].

Let $\pi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the canonical quotient map and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the Calkin algebra. Let $\pi(T) = [T]$ denote the equivalence class $T + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ is a normed algebra with $\|[T]\| = \inf_{K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})} \|T + K\| \leq \|T\|$. We say that T is a Fredholm operator if the class $[T]$ is invertible in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$. According to Atkinson Theorem, $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Fredholm operator if and only if its range is closed and the kernels $\ker(T)$ and $\ker(T^*)$ are finite dimensional, where $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the adjoint of T . The set of all Fredholm operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

The essential S-spectrum of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, defined by

$$\sigma_e^S(T) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : \Delta_q(T) \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})\},$$

is a non-empty compact subset of $\sigma^S(T)$, see [MT].

In the sequel, we will be working in the quaternion setting, that is, the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ are our ground field (skewfield to be more precise). Therefore, when we write $W(T)$ or $W_e(T)$, we always refer to the quaternionic numerical range or quaternionic essential numerical range.

Finally, define the essential bild and the essentials upper and lower bilds to be, respectively, $B_e(T) = W_e(T) \cap \mathbb{C}$, $B_e^+(T) = W_e(T) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$, and $B_e^-(T) = W_e(T) \cap \mathbb{C}^-$, where $\mathbb{C}^\pm$ is the closure of the respective half-planes.

2. PROPERTIES OF THE ESSENTIAL NUMERICAL RANGE

This section is devoted to elementary properties of the essential numerical range and to prove some criteria for a quaternion to be in the essential numerical range of an operator. The results and their proofs are identical to the complex case with some adjustments. For the sake of completeness full proofs are provided. We start with an auxiliary result concerning compact operators.

Lemma 2.1. *An operator T is compact if and only if $\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for every orthonormal set $(e_n)_n$.*

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be compact and let $(e_n)_n$ be an orthonormal set. Let P_n be the projection onto $\operatorname{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Since T is compact, it is the limit of a sequence of finite rank operators, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n T - T\| = 0$ (see [C, Corollary 4.5]). Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P_n)T(I - P_n)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T - P_n T\| \|I - P_n\| = 0.$$

Since $(I - P_n)e_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$, and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Te_{n+1}, e_{n+1} \rangle| &= |\langle T(I - P_n)e_{n+1}, (I - P_n)e_{n+1} \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(I - P_n)T(I - P_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$.

For the converse, suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is such that $\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, for every orthonormal set $(e_n)_n$. From $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} |\langle Tx, y \rangle|$, there exist unit vectors $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$|\langle Tx_1, y_1 \rangle| \geq \frac{\|T\|}{2}.$$

A straightforward computation shows the following “polarization identity”, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\langle Tx, y \rangle &= \langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle + \left(\langle T(x+yi), x+yi \rangle - \langle T(x-yi), x-yi \rangle \right) i \\ &\quad + k \left(\langle T(x+yk), x+yk \rangle - \langle T(x-yk), x-yk \rangle \right) + k \left(\langle T(x+yj), x+yj \rangle - \langle T(x-yj), x-yj \rangle \right) i. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it follows that

$$|\langle Tx_1, y_1 \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{u \in U} |\langle Tu, u \rangle|,$$

where $U = \{x_1 + \eta y_1 : \eta = \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$. More precisely, for some $u_0 \in U$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx_1, y_1 \rangle| &\leq \frac{8}{4} |\langle Tu_0, u_0 \rangle| = 2 \left| \left\langle T \left(\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \right), \frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \right\rangle \right| \|u_0\|^2 \\ &\leq 8 \left| \left\langle T \left(\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \right), \frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \right\rangle \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that $\|u_0\| \leq 2$. Set $\rho_1 = u_0/\|u_0\| \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, ρ_1 is a unit vector such that

$$\frac{\|T\|}{2} \leq |\langle Tx_1, y_1 \rangle| \leq 8 |\langle T\rho_1, \rho_1 \rangle| \Leftrightarrow \frac{\|T\|}{16} \leq |\langle T\rho_1, \rho_1 \rangle|.$$

Now, let P_1 be the orthogonal projection onto $\text{span}\{\rho_1\}$. By applying the above argument to the operator $(I - P_1)T(I - P_1)$, we can find a unit vector ρ_2 orthogonal to ρ_1 such that

$$\frac{\|(I - P_1)T(I - P_1)\|}{16} \leq |\langle T\rho_2, \rho_2 \rangle|.$$

Moreover, a recursive procedure allows us to construct an orthonormal sequence $(\rho_n)_n$ such that if P_n is the projection onto the span of $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n\}$ then

$$\frac{\|(I - P_n)T(I - P_n)\|}{16} \leq |\langle T\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1} \rangle|.$$

Since ρ_n is an orthonormal sequence, by assumption, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T\rho_n, \rho_n \rangle = 0$, so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P_n)T(I - P_n)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l\|(P_n T + T P_n - P_n T P_n) - T\| = 0,$$

and thus T is compact (being the limit of the finite rank operators $P_n T + T P_n - P_n T P_n$). ■

Next result, well-known in the complex setting (see [FSW, Corollary in page 189]), gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an element $q \in \mathbb{H}$ to belong to $W_e(T)$, for some operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A very important class of unitary vectors regarding the essential numerical range, portrayed below in condition b), will be called an essential sequence, see definition 2.3. As usual, we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ if a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ converges to $x \in \mathcal{H}$ in the weak topology.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $q \in \mathbb{H}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) $q \in W_e(T)$.
- b) *There exists a sequence of unit vectors $(x_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow q$.*
- c) *There exists an orthonormal sequence $(e_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle \rightarrow q$.*

Proof. b) $\Rightarrow$ a). Suppose b) holds. To see that $q \in \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})} \overline{W(T + K)}$, we will show that $\langle (T + K)x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow q$, for every compact operator K . At this point we need the following well-known result: if K is compact and $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $Kx_n \rightarrow Kx$ strongly. In particular, if $x_n \rightarrow 0$ then $\|Kx_n\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows that

$$\langle (T + K)x_n, x_n \rangle = \langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle + \langle Kx_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow q,$$

since we have $|\langle Kx_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \|Kx_n\|$, for every n .

c) $\Rightarrow$ b). The result follows from the fact that $e_n \rightarrow 0$ for every orthonormal sequence $(e_n)_n$.

a) $\Rightarrow$ c). Since $W_e(T) = [B_e(T)]$, it is enough to prove the result for the essential upper bild. Let $q \in B_e^+(T)$. From $B_e^+(T) \subseteq \overline{B^+(T)}$, there is a sequence of unit vectors $(\xi_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle T\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \in B^+(T)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = q$. Take ξ_M , which we call without loss of generality ξ_1 , such that

$$|\langle T\xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle - q| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_1 := \text{span}\{\xi_1\}$ and write $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1^\perp$. Denote $P_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{L}_1$. From [CDM3, Corollary 3.3] we know that the quaternionic numerical range of an operator, and therefore its upper bild, always intersects the real line. So, we can take a real number $\mu_1 \in B^+\left((I - P_1)T|_{\mathcal{L}_1^\perp}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}$.

Let F_1 be the finite rank operator such that $T + F_1 = \mu_1 P_1 + (I - P_1)T(I - P_1)$. Then, F_1 compact and, since $q \in B_e^+(T)$, it follows that

$$q \in \overline{B^+(T + F_1)} = \overline{B^+(\mu_1 P_1 + (I - P_1)T(I - P_1))}.$$

However, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & B^+(\mu_1 P_1 + (I - P_1)T(I - P_1)) = \\ &= \{ \langle (\mu_1 P_1 + (I - P_1)T(I - P_1))(x_1 + x_2), x_1 + x_2 \rangle : (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \} \cap \mathbb{C}^+ \\ &= \left\{ \mu_1 \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 \langle (I - P_1)T \frac{x_2}{\|x_2\|}, \frac{x_2}{\|x_2\|} \rangle : (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \right\} \cap \mathbb{C}^+, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1^\perp, \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 = 1\}$.

Since $\mu_1 \in B^+\left((I - P_1)T|_{\mathcal{L}_1^\perp}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}$ and $B^+\left((I - P_1)T|_{\mathcal{L}_1^\perp}\right)$ is convex (see [Ye1, Corollary 1]) we obtain

$$B^+(\mu_1 P_1 + (I - P_1)T(I - P_1)) = B^+\left((I - P_1)T|_{\mathcal{L}_1^\perp}\right).$$

Hence, $q \in \overline{B^+\left((I - P_1)T|_{\mathcal{L}_1^\perp}\right)}$. So there is a unit vector $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1^\perp$ such that

$$|\langle (I - P_1)T|_{\mathcal{L}_1^\perp} \xi_2, \xi_2 \rangle - q| \leq \frac{1}{2^2} \Leftrightarrow |\langle T\xi_2, \xi_2 \rangle - q| \leq \frac{1}{2^2}.$$

If $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ are orthonormal vectors such that $|\langle T\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle - q| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$, we can repeat the above procedure with $\mathcal{L}_n := \text{span}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$, P_n the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{L}_n$, $\mu_n \in B^+\left((I - P_n)T|_{\mathcal{L}_n^\perp}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}$ and F_n such that $T + F_n = \mu_n P_n + (I - P_n)T(I - P_n)$. We thus obtain a unit vector ξ_{n+1} orthogonal to each ξ_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$$|\langle T\xi_{n+1}, \xi_{n+1} \rangle - q| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

By recursion, there exists an orthonormal sequence $(\xi_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle T\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \rightarrow q$. ■

We will call any sequence satisfying b) an essential sequence for q , as stated in the following definition.

Definition 2.3. An essential sequence $(x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{H}$ for q is a sequence of unit vectors such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow q$.

An immediate consequence of theorem 2.2 is the non-emptiness of the essential numerical range. In fact, for any orthonormal sequence $(e_n)_n$, the sequence $\left(\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle\right)_n$ is bounded by $\|T\|$. Then, it has a convergent subsequence. By c) in theorem 2.2 we have that $W_e(T)$ is non-empty. Moreover, it is clear that $W_e(T)$ is a compact set since it is closed and bounded in $\mathbb{H}$. These properties are summarized in the corollary below.

Corollary 2.4. $W_e(T)$ is a non-empty and compact set.

The essential numerical range in the quaternionic setting shares many properties with either the complex essential numerical range or the quaternionic numerical range. We collect some of such properties below. The proofs are direct and for that reason only a short hint is provided.

Proposition 2.5. *The following properties of the quaternionic essential numerical range hold.*

- (i) $W_e(T + K) = W_e(T)$, for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.
- (ii) $q \in W_e(T)$ if and only if $[q] \subseteq W_e(T)$.
- (iii) $W_e(T^*) = W_e(T)$.
- (iv) $W_e(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}(0, \|\pi(T)\|)}$.
- (v) If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $W_e(aT + bI) = aW_e(T) + b$.
- (vi) $W_e(T + S) \subseteq W_e(T) + W_e(S)$.
- (vii) If $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitary, then $W_e(UTU^*) = W_e(T)$.
- (viii) $W_e(T)$ contains all eigenvalues of T of infinite multiplicity.

Proof. (i) follows from $K + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$; (ii) results from $q \in W(T)$ if and only if $[q] \subseteq W(T)$, for every operator T ; (iii) is a consequence of $W(T^*) = W(T)$, for every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; the inclusion $W(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}(0, \|T\|)}$ implies (iv); (v) holds because $W(aT + bI) = aW(T) + b$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$; from $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and $W(T + S) \subseteq W(T) + W(S)$

we obtain (vi); (vii) follows from $W(UTU^*) = W(T)$; for (viii) note that the orthonormal set $(e_n)_n$ of eigenvectors satisfying $Te_n = e_nq$ is an essential sequence for q . $\blacksquare$

From [CDM6, Theorem 2.9] we know that $\sigma^S(T + K) \subseteq \overline{W(T + K)}$, for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Using the notion of Weyl S-spectrum, $\sigma_w^S(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})} \sigma^S(T + K)$, and that $\sigma_e^S(T) \subseteq \sigma_w^S(T) \subseteq \sigma^S(T)$ (see Definition 6.1 and Theorem 6.6 in [MT]), we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. $\sigma_e^S(T) \subseteq W_e(T)$.

3. CONVEXITY

In this section we establish the main result of the paper which asserts that the quaternionic essential numerical range is convex. To see this we will show that for any two elements $\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}$ in $W_e(T)$, their convex combination can be arbitrarily approximated by elements $\langle Tz, z \rangle$, where $z \in \mathcal{H}$ is generated by an essential sequence for $\omega^{(1)}$ and an essential sequence for $\omega^{(2)}$. To construct such elements $z \in \mathcal{H}$ we need a preparatory lemma which states a general property enjoyed by a pair of unitary sequences weakly vanishing and a bounded linear operator.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $(x_n^{(i)})_n$, $i = 1, 2$, be unitary sequences in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $x_n^{(i)} \rightarrow 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \geq N$ and*

$$|\langle x_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |\langle Tx_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle T^*x_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$. Let $(e_k)_k$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ and P_K be the projection onto $\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_K\}$. Since $(I - P_K)y \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} 0$ for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$, then, for the above $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we may find $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|(I - P_K)x_N^{(1)}\| \leq \delta, \quad \|(I - P_K)Tx_N^{(1)}\| \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|(I - P_K)T^*x_N^{(1)}\| \leq \delta. \quad (3.1)$$

We can find an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ that depends on δ , N , K , such that $M \geq N$ and

$$|\langle x_M^{(2)}, e_k \rangle| \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{k/2}}, \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq k \leq K. \quad (3.2)$$

Inequality (3.2) follows from the fact that $(x_n^{(2)})_n$ vanishes weakly, and that implies coordinatewise convergence to zero. It follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \langle x_M^{(2)}, e_k \rangle e_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} |\langle x_M^{(2)}, e_k \rangle|^2 \leq \delta^2$$

and therefore,

$$\|P_K x_M^{(2)}\| \leq \delta. \quad (3.3)$$

Noting that $\|x_N^{(1)}\| = \|x_M^{(2)}\| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| &\leq |\langle x_N^{(1)}, (I - P_K)x_M^{(2)} \rangle| + |\langle x_N^{(1)}, P_K x_M^{(2)} \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(I - P_K)x_N^{(1)}\| \|x_M^{(2)}\| + \|x_N^{(1)}\| \|P_K x_M^{(2)}\| \\ &\leq 2\delta \quad (\text{from (3.1) and (3.3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar reasoning, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| &\leq \|(I - P_K)Tx_N^{(1)}\| \|x_M^{(2)}\| + \|Tx_N^{(1)}\| \|P_K x_M^{(2)}\| \\ &\leq \|(I - P_K)Tx_N^{(1)}\| + \|T\| \delta \\ &\leq \delta + \|T\| \delta \end{aligned}$$

and $|\langle T^* x_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| \leq \delta + \|T\| \delta$. Letting δ be such that $\max\{2, 1 + \|T\|\} \delta \leq \varepsilon$ the lemma follows. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 3.2. $W_e(T)$ is convex.

Proof. Convexity of $W_e(T)$ will be proved by showing that $\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)} \in W_e(T)$ for any $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$, when $\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)}$ lie in $W_e(T)$. For that we will prove there is an essential sequence $(\tilde{z}_p)_p$ for $\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)}$.

Let $(x_n^{(i)})_n$ be an essential sequence for $\omega^{(i)}$ and denote $\omega_n^{(i)} = \langle Tx_n^{(i)}, x_n^{(i)} \rangle$, for $i = 1, 2$. For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\varepsilon = 1/p$. One of the conditions for the sequence $(x_n^{(i)})_n$ to be essential for $\omega^{(i)}$ is that $\omega_n^{(i)} \rightarrow \omega^{(i)}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for the given ε , there exists $N \geq p$ satisfying

$$|\omega_n^{(1)} - \omega^{(1)}| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } |\omega_n^{(2)} - \omega^{(2)}| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for } n \geq N. \quad (3.4)$$

Pick M according to the previous lemma. For the fixed α and β , let $z = \alpha x_N^{(1)} + \beta x_M^{(2)}$. Since $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ and $\alpha\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we easily verify that

$$|\|z\|^2 - 1| \leq |\langle x_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

A simple computation shows that

$$|\langle Tz, z \rangle - (\alpha^2 \omega_N^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega_M^{(2)})| = \alpha\beta |\langle Tx_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle + \overline{\langle T^* x_N^{(1)}, x_M^{(2)} \rangle}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

From (3.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tz, z \rangle - (\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)})| &\leq |(\alpha^2 \omega_N^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega_M^{(2)}) - (\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)})| \\ &\quad + |\langle Tz, z \rangle - (\alpha^2 \omega_N^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega_M^{(2)})| \\ &\leq \alpha^2 |\omega_N^{(1)} - \omega^{(1)}| + \beta^2 |\omega_M^{(2)} - \omega^{(2)}| + |\langle Tz, z \rangle - (\alpha^2 \omega_N^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega_M^{(2)})| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Observing that the fixed integers N and M depend on ε , that is on $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote them by N_p and M_p ; likewise, we denote z by z_p . To get an essential sequence we have to normalize $(z_p)_p$. Write $\tilde{z}_p = \frac{z_p}{\|z_p\|}$. From (3.5), $\|z_p\| \rightarrow 1$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$), and so $(\tilde{z}_p)_p$ is well defined. By definition, $z_p = \alpha x_{N_p}^{(1)} + \beta x_{M_p}^{(2)}$, and $x_{N_p}^{(1)}, x_{M_p}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0$, when $p \rightarrow \infty$. By linearity and since $\|z_p\| \rightarrow 1$, we have that $\tilde{z}_p \rightarrow 0$. Finally, from (3.6) it follows that

$$\langle T\tilde{z}_p, \tilde{z}_p \rangle = \frac{1}{\|z_p\|^2} \langle Tz_p, z_p \rangle \rightarrow \alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)}.$$

The sequence $(\tilde{z}_p)_p$ is essential for $\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)}$ and thus, by theorem 2.2, $\alpha^2 \omega^{(1)} + \beta^2 \omega^{(2)} \in W_e(T)$. $\blacksquare$

Next result establishes the relation between the boundary of the numerical range and the essential numerical range. This is the quaternionic analogue of Lancaster's theorem for the complex numerical range, see [L, Theorem 1]. Since the quaternionic numerical range is not always convex, a modification is imposed and we need to introduce the notion of inter-convex hull of sets (see [CDM2, Definition 3.2]).

The inter-convex hull of the sets A and B , denoted by $\text{iconv}\{A, B\}$, closes the set $A \cup B$ to the convex combinations with one element of each sets,

$$\text{iconv}\{A, B\} = \{\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b : a \in A, b \in B, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Theorem 3.3. *The closure of the numerical range is $\overline{W(T)} = \text{iconv}\{W_e(T), W(T)\}$.*

Proof. We start proving that $\text{iconv}\{W_e(T), W(T)\} \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$. Let $\bar{\omega} \in \text{iconv}\{W_e(T), W(T)\}$. Then $\bar{\omega} = \alpha^2 \omega + \beta^2 \omega_e$ with $\omega \in W(T)$, $\omega_e \in W_e(T)$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$. In particular, we can take a unitary $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\omega = \langle Ty, y \rangle$ and an essential sequence $(y_n)_n$ for ω_e . Since $y_n \rightarrow 0$, we have that $\lim \langle y_n, y \rangle = \lim \langle y_n, Ty \rangle = \lim \langle y_n, T^*y \rangle = 0$. Let $z_n = \alpha y + \beta y_n$. Then,

$$\langle Tz_n, z_n \rangle = \alpha^2 \langle Ty, y \rangle + \beta^2 \langle Ty_n, y_n \rangle + \alpha\beta (\langle Ty, y_n \rangle + \langle Ty_n, y \rangle) \rightarrow \alpha^2 \omega + \beta^2 \omega_e = \bar{\omega}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\|z_n\|^2 = \alpha^2 \|y\|^2 + \beta^2 \|y_n\|^2 + \alpha\beta (\langle y, y_n \rangle + \langle y_n, y \rangle) \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus $W(T) \ni \langle T \frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|}, \frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|} \rangle \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$, and $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{W(T)}$.

To prove the converse inclusion, take $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{W(T)}$. There is a sequence $(y_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\|y_n\| = 1$ and $\omega_n = \langle Ty_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$. Since this sequence is in the unit circle, there is an element $y \in \mathcal{H}$ in the unit disk such that y_n converges weakly to y .

If $y = 0$, then $(y_n)_n$ is an essential sequence for $\bar{\omega}$. From theorem 2.2 we have $\bar{\omega} \in W_e(T)$.

If $\|y\| = 1$, we have that $y_n \rightarrow y$, with $\|y\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$. It is well-known that in this case $y_n \rightarrow y$ (strongly). Thus, $\langle Ty_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle Ty, y \rangle$, that is, $\bar{\omega} = \langle Ty, y \rangle \in W(T)$.

Assume now that $\|y\| \neq 0, 1$. Using that $\langle y_n, h \rangle \rightarrow \langle y, h \rangle$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we can prove that $\lim \langle Ty_n, y \rangle = \lim \langle Ty, y_n \rangle = \langle Ty, y \rangle$ and therefore

$$\lim \langle Ty_n, y_n \rangle = \lim [\langle Ty, y \rangle + \langle T(y_n - y), y_n - y \rangle].$$

It is easy to see that $\lim \|y_n - y\|^2 = 1 - \|y\|^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\omega} = \lim \langle Ty_n, y_n \rangle &= \lim \left[\|y\|^2 \left\langle T \frac{y}{\|y\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle + \|y_n - y\|^2 \left\langle T \frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|}, \frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \|y\|^2 \left\langle T \frac{y}{\|y\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle + (1 - \|y\|^2) \lim \left\langle T \frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|}, \frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have just written $\bar{\omega}$ as a convex combination of $\omega = \langle T \frac{y}{\|y\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \rangle \in W(T)$ and $\omega_e = \lim \left\langle T \frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|}, \frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|} \right\rangle$. We use theorem 2.2 again, observing that $\left(\frac{y_n - y}{\|y_n - y\|} \right)_n$ is an essential sequence for w_e , to conclude that $w_e \in W_e(T)$. Therefore, $\bar{\omega} \in \text{iconv}\{W_e(T), W(T)\}$. ■

As in other results concerning the quaternionic numerical range, next corollary shows that we can simply consider what happens in the complex plane. Given a quaternion $q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k$, we define $\pi_{(1)}(q) = \text{Re}(q) = q_0$ and $\pi_{(i)}(q) = q_1$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\overline{B(T)} = \text{iconv}\{B_e(T), B(T)\}$.*

Proof. From theorem 3.3 we have

$$\text{iconv}\{B_e(T), B(T)\} \cap \mathbb{C} \subseteq \text{iconv}\{W_e(T), W(T)\} \cap \mathbb{C} = \overline{W(T)} \cap \mathbb{C}.$$

We obtain that $\text{iconv}\{B_e(T), B(T)\} \subseteq \overline{B(T)}$.

For the converse inclusion, take an element $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{B(T)}$. According to theorem 3.3 there are $\omega \in W(T)$, $\omega_e \in W_e(T)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, such that

$$\bar{\omega} = \alpha\omega + (1 - \alpha)\omega_e. \quad (3.8)$$

Observe that when $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$ the inclusion immediately follows. So suppose $\alpha \neq 0, 1$.

We can write $\omega = a + bq$ and $\omega_e = c + dq_e$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in \text{Im}(q)$, $q_e \in \text{Im}(q_e)$ and $|q| = |q_e| = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\bar{\omega} = (\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)c) + (\alpha bq + (1 - \alpha)dq_e).$$

Note that $\alpha bq + (1 - \alpha)dq_e \in \text{span}\{i\}$, since $\bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $\bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^+$. If $\bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^-$, the proof is analogous.

By the axial circularity over the reals of the numerical range, there are $\omega_{(i)} \in [\omega] \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ in the bild and $\omega_{e,(i)} \in [\omega_e] \cap \mathbb{C}^+$. We can write $\omega_i = a + |b|i$ and $\omega_{e,i} = c + |d|i$.

Define $\bar{\omega}_i = \alpha\omega_i + (1 - \alpha)\omega_{e,i}$, which can be written as

$$\bar{\omega}_i = (\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)c) + (\alpha|b| + (1 - \alpha)|d|)i.$$

Clearly, $\pi_{(1)}(\bar{\omega}) = \pi_{(1)}(\bar{\omega}_i)$. On the other hand, since $\alpha bq + (1 - \alpha)dq_e \in \text{span}\{i\}$ and $|q| = |q_e| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \pi_{(i)}(\bar{\omega}) &= \left| \pi_{(i)}(\bar{\omega})i \right| \\ &= \left| \alpha bq + (1 - \alpha)dq_e \right| \\ &\leq \alpha|b| + (1 - \alpha)|d| \\ &= \pi_{(i)}(\bar{\omega}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $\alpha|b| - (1 - \alpha)|d| \geq 0$, let now $\tilde{\omega}_i = \alpha\omega_i + (1 - \alpha)\omega_{e,i}^*$; otherwise, define $\tilde{\omega}_i = \alpha\omega_i^* + (1 - \alpha)\omega_{e,i}$. Clearly, $\tilde{\omega}_i \in \mathbb{C}^+$ and $\pi_{(1)}(\tilde{\omega}_i) = \pi_{(1)}(\bar{\omega})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \pi_{(i)}(\tilde{\omega}_i) &= \left| \alpha|b| - (1 - \alpha)|d| \right| \\ &= \left| \alpha|bq| - (1 - \alpha)|dq_e^*| \right| \\ &\leq \left| \alpha bq - (1 - \alpha)dq_e^* \right| \\ &= \left| \alpha bq + (1 - \alpha)dq_e \right| \\ &= \pi_{(i)}(\bar{\omega}), \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha bq + (1 - \alpha)dq_e \in \text{span}\{i\}$ and $\bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^+$.

Then we have found two elements $\bar{\omega}_i$ and $\tilde{\omega}_i$, both in $\text{iconv}\{B_e(T), B(T)\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(1)}(\bar{\omega}) &= \pi_{(1)}(\bar{\omega}_i) = \pi_{(1)}(\tilde{\omega}_i) \\ 0 \leq \pi_{(i)}(\tilde{\omega}_i) &\leq \pi_{(i)}(\bar{\omega}) \leq \pi_{(i)}(\bar{\omega}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Now we will show that $\bar{\omega}$ is also in $\text{iconv}\{B_e(T), B(T)\}$. Consider the affine transformation

$$\begin{aligned} f : B_e(T) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f(z) &= \alpha\omega_i + (1 - \alpha)z. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_e(T)$ is convex, $[\omega_{e,i}^*, \omega_{e,i}] \subset B_e(T)$. Affine transformations map lines into lines so we have

$$f([\omega_{e,i}^*, \omega_{e,i}]) = [\tilde{\omega}_i, \bar{\omega}_i].$$

Observe that $\tilde{\omega}_i \neq \bar{\omega}_i$, since $\alpha \neq 1$.

Since $\bar{\omega} \in [\tilde{\omega}_i, \bar{\omega}_i]$, there exists $\eta \in [\omega_{e,i}^*, \omega_{e,i}] \subset B_e(T)$ such that $f(\eta) = \bar{\omega}$, that is, $\alpha\omega_i + (1 - \alpha)\eta = \bar{\omega}$. We conclude that $\bar{\omega} \in \text{iconv}\{B_e(T), B(T)\}$. $\blacksquare$

Remark 3.5. In the complex setting [L, Corollary 1] proves that the numerical range is closed if and only if the $W_{\mathbb{C},e}(T)$ is a subset of the $W_{\mathbb{C}}(T)$. The relation in the previous result induces the idea that the same result might hold for quaternions. However, that is not the case.

Take the operator $T = \text{diag}\{-1 + i, 1 + i\} \oplus \text{diag}\{s_n\}$, where s_n is a sequence that runs over $(-1/2, 1/2)i \cap \mathbb{Q}i$. Applying theorem 2.2 and theorem 3.2, we have

$$B_e(T) = [-i/2, i/2].$$

From theorem 4.2 in [CDM6], it follows

$$\overline{B^+(T)} = \text{conv}\{-1 + i, 1 + i, -1/3, 1/3\}.$$

Nevertheless, the upper bild, and therefore the bild, is not closed. For example, the boundary line segment joining $-1/3$ to $-1 + i$ does not belong to $B(T)$. Thus we have $B_e(T) = [-i/2, i/2] \subseteq B(T)$ but $B(T)$ is not closed.

COMPETING INTERESTS DECLARATION

The authors declare none.

REFERENCES

- [CDM1] L. Carvalho, C. Diogo, S. Mendes, *A bridge between quaternionic and complex numerical ranges*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **581** (2019), 496-504.
- [CDM2] L. Carvalho, C. Diogo, S. Mendes, *On the convexity and circularity of the numerical range of nilpotent quaternionic matrices*, New York J. Math. **25** (2019) 1385-1404.
- [CDM3] L. Carvalho, C. Diogo, S. Mendes, *The star-center of the quaternionic numerical range*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **603** (2020), 166-185.
- [CDM4] L. Carvalho, C. Diogo, S. Mendes, *A new perspective on the quaternionic numerical range of normal matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2021, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2021.1902924.
- [CDM5] L. Carvalho, C. Diogo, S. Mendes, *Quaternionic numerical range of complex matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **620** (2021), 168-181.
- [CDM6] L. Carvalho, C. Diogo, S. Mendes, *S-spectrum and numerical range of a quaternionic operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **519** (2023) 126834.
- [C] J. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [CGK] F. Colombo, J. Gantner, and D. Kimsey, *Spectral Theory on the S-Spectrum for Quaternionic Operators*, Birkhauser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2018.
- [CSS] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa, *Noncommutative functional calculus. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 289, Birkhauser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [Ha] F. Hausdorff, *Der Wertvorrat einer Bilinearform*, Math. Zeitschrift, **3** (2019), 314-316.

- [L] J. Lancaster, *The boundary of the numerical range*, Proceedings American Mathematical Society, **49**(2) (1975), 393-398.
- [MT] B. Muraleetharan, T. Kengathram, *Weyl and Browder S -spectra in a right quaternionic Hilbert space*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **135** (2019), 7-20.
- [FSW] P. A. Filmore, J. G. Stampfli, J. P. Williams, *On the essential numerical range, the essential spectrum and a problem of Halmos*, Acta. Sci. Math (Szeged) **33** (1973), 172-192.
- [To] O. Toeplitz, *Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satze von Fejér*, Math. Zeitschrift, **2** (2018), 187-197.
- [Ye1] Y. H. Au-Yeung, *On the convexity of the numerical range in quaternionic Hilbert space*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **16** (1984), 93-100.
- [Ki] R. Kippenhahn, *On the numerical range of a matrix*, Translated from the German by Paul F. Zachlin and Michiel E. Hochstenbach. Linear Multilinear Algebra **56:1-2** (2008), 185-225.

LUÍS CARVALHO, ISCTE - LISBON UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE, AV. DAS FORÇAS ARMADAS, 1649-026, LISBON, PORTUGAL

Email address: `luis.carvalho@iscte-iul.pt`

CRISTINA DIOGO, ISCTE - LISBON UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE, AV. DAS FORÇAS ARMADAS, 1649-026, LISBON, PORTUGAL, AND, CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY, AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT,, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. ROVISCO PAIS, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

Email address: `cristina.diogo@iscte-iul.pt`

SÉRGIO MENDES, ISCTE - LISBON UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE, AV. DAS FORÇAS ARMADAS, 1649-026, LISBON, PORTUGAL, AND CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR, RUA MARQUÊS D'ÁVILA E BOLAMA, 6201-001, COVILHÃ, PORTUGAL

Email address: `sergio.mendes@iscte-iul.pt`

HELENA SOARES, ISCTE - LISBON UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE, AV. DAS FORÇAS ARMADAS, 1649-026, LISBON, PORTUGAL, AND CENTRO DE INVESTIGAÇÃO EM MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, UNIVERSIDADE DE ÉVORA, COLÉGIO LUÍS ANTÓNIO VERNEY, RUA ROMÃO RAMALHO, 59, 7000-671 ÉVORA, PORTUGAL

Email address: `helena.soares@iscte-iul.pt`